

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,109	11/30/2001	Sean S. Josephson	15-NM-6150 (070191-0324)	4424
7590 01/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Paul S. Hunter			CONLEY, FR	REDRICK C
FOLEY & LAR	DNER			
Firstar Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
777 East Wisconsin Avenue			3673	
Milwaukee, WI	53202-5367			

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
*		09/998,109	JOSEPHSON ET AL.	N
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Fredrick C Conley	3673	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	ş
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIDE THE PRIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIDE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th fiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 October 2003.		
•		his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde			its is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9-14,16-18,20 and 21</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>5,8 and 15</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 21.	drawn from consideration.		
		a/or election requirement.		
	ion Papers			
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Exam		o by the Everiner	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ZAMIMOT. HOLO LITO ALLAOT.		
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 H.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eigh phonty under 33 0.0.0	. y 119(a)-(d) of (i).	
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But		en received in this inational Stag	le
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.	
s	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the B7 CFR 1.78.	estic priority under 35 U.S.C first sentence of the specif	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data	lication) a Sheet.
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language	• •		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of			
Attachmen	nt(s)			
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	V Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) L Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No((s) 6) [Other:		

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 9-10, 12-13, 16-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,105,923 to Hynes in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,641,823 to Bergman.

In reference to claim 1, Hynes discloses a patient transport system comprising: an elongated member 20 having an upper surface configured to support a patient;

a coupling mechanism attached to the elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to an imaging system (col. 8 lines 21-29). Hynes fails to disclose a second coupling mechanism. Bergman discloses a mobile transport table having coupling mechanism 32 attached to an elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to a magnetic resonance imaging system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a second coupling mechanism in order to attach the elongate member to a patient cradle of an MRI system.

Art Unit: 3673

In reference to claim 2, wherein the elongated member comprising a cradle 18 and a table 16 wherein the cradle rest on the table (Bergman)

In reference to claim 3, Hynes discloses a patient transport comprising:

an elongated member 20 having an upper surface configured to support a patient;

a coupling mechanism integrally attached to the elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to an imaging system (col. 8 lines 21-29). Hynes fails to disclose a second coupling mechanism. Bergman discloses a mobile transport table having coupling mechanism 32 integrally attached to a patient cradle 16 and configured to removably couple the elongated member to a magnetic resonance imaging system wherein the elongated member comprising a cradle 18 and a table 16 wherein the cradle rest on the table (Bergman). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a second coupling mechanism in order to attach the elongate member to a patient cradle of an MRI system.

Regarding claim 4, wherein the second imaging system is an X-ray imaging system having a pedestal 22, wherein the second coupling mechanism is configured to be removably coupled to the pedestal of the X-ray system (Hynes).

In reference to claim 6, Hynes discloses a patient transport system for transporting a patient from a magnetic resonance imaging system to a second imaging system, the patient transport system comprising:

Art Unit: 3673

an elongated member 20 having an upper surface configured to support a patient;

a coupling mechanism attached to the elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to an imaging system (col. 8 lines 21-29); Hynes fails to discloses a second coupling mechanism. Bergman discloses a mobile transport table having coupling mechanism 32 attached to the end of an elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to a magnetic resonance imaging system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a second coupling mechanism in order to attach the elongate member to a patient cradle of an MRI system.

In reference to claim 9, Hynes discloses a patient transport system comprising an elongated patient support member 20 having a first end opposite a second end, wherein the first end is configured to be coupled to an X-ray imaging device (col. 8 lines 21-29). Hynes fails to discloses a second coupling mechanism. Bergman discloses a mobile transport table having coupling mechanism 32 attached to the end of an elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to a magnetic resonance imaging system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a second coupling mechanism in order to attach the elongate member to a patient cradle of an MRI system.

Regarding claims 10 and 18, wherein the elongated patient support is suitable for use in both magnetic resonance imaging environment and an X-ray imaging environment.

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claims 12 and 20, further comprising a plurality of wheels (col. 8 lines 42-43)(Hynes).

Regarding claim 13, wherein the elongated patient support member comprising a table 52 and a patient cradle 66 resting on the table, wherein the table comprises a mounting surface configured to receive the patient cradle in a substantially fixed relationship wherein the table includes a plurality of wheels configured to roll the elongated patient support member on the floor (col. 8 lines 43-57)(Hynes).

Regarding claim 14, further comprising a manually-actuated locking mechanism 30 configured to couple the patient cradle to the table in a fixed relationship (Bergman).

In reference to claim 16, Hynes discloses a patient transport system comprising:

a patient support surface 20 comprising a first end compatible with a first coupling arrangement on an imaging system (col. 8 lines 21-29). Hynes fails to disclose a second coupling mechanism. Bergman discloses a mobile transport table having coupling mechanism 32 attached to an elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to a magnetic resonance imaging system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a second coupling mechanism in order to attach the elongate member to a patient cradle of an MRI system.

Regarding claim 21, wherein the first coupling arrangement comprises an actuator 22 and the actuator is actuated by a human operator/technician.

In reference to claim 17, Hynes discloses a patient transport system comprising:

Art Unit: 3673

a patient support surface 20 comprising a first end compatible with a first coupling arrangement on an imaging system (col. 8 lines 21-29). Hynes fails to discloses a second coupling mechanism. Bergman discloses a mobile transport table having coupling mechanism 32 attached to an elongated member configured to removably couple the elongated member to a magnetic resonance imaging system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a second coupling mechanism in order to attach the elongate member to a patient cradle of an MRI system.

Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,105,923 to Hynes in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,641,823 to Bergman and U.S. Pat. No. 4,145,612 to Cooper.

In reference to claims 7 and 11, Hynes discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for the elongated member comprised of Kevlar. Cooper discloses an elongated member comprised of Kevlar (col. 3 lines 28-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the elongate member comprised of Kevlar in order to provide a composite that results in a scratch resistant patient support surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 is allowed.

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 5, 8, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-7687 for regular communications and 3057687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-2168.

January 12, 2004

TERI PHAM LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER